XIV. Observations on the Hinges of British Bivalve Shells.

By Mr. William Wood, F.L.S.

Read January 6, 1801.

AMONG the many authors who have either noticed shells in their works on Natural History, or have written professedly on the subject, it is rather extraordinary that no particular attention should have been hitherto paid to their hinges; more especially as they afford the leading characters by which shells are arranged.

Da Costa, indeed, in his Elements of Conchology, has figured the hinges of the several genera of bivalves; but many of them are not calculated to give a clear idea of the parts which they are intended to represent: besides, he has confined himself to one species in a genus, which is by no means sufficient, inasmuch as many of the hinges of the same genus of shells differ materially from one another in their specific characters.

Figures on this subject have been given also in the last volume of the Amenitates Academicæ; but these are more calculated to mislead than to instruct. The consideration that something of this kind, executed in a more accurate and comprehensive manner than has hitherto been done, is still a desideratum among conchologists, has induced me to attempt the following observations, which I lay before the Society with all dissidence, conscious that they are far from being faultless, and that some shells are omitted which may,

6

for any thing I know to the contrary, exhibit peculiarities in the formation of their hinges, not to be found in this paper. Perhaps what is already finished may excite some more able member of this Society, whose cabinet is more extensive, to complete the subject.

I am indebted to the accurate pencil of my friend Mr. Henry Boys for the Solen pellucidus, Tellina bimaculata, Venus Chione, and Venus undata. My acknowledgments must likewise be made to his most respectable father, William Boys, Esq. for the ready access which I have at all times had to his collection.

In the course of the following remarks, it will be noticed, that several shells are totally neglected, which are too common to be wanting even in a very confined collection. To account for this, it will be necessary to mention all the shells belonging to the British series of bivalves which have not been inserted, and to give a sufficient reason for their omission.

Of the genus Mya, all have been figured, except the M. dubiaof Mr. Pennant, which at present I have not in my collection.

Of the Solenes, I have neither the Legumen nor Cultellus. But their loss is of little consequence, as the teeth of the former (according to Mr. Pennant) exactly resemble those of the S. pellucidus; whilst the latter, having a single tooth on both sides of the hinge, will probably not differ materially from the Vagina. The hinge of the S. Ensis agrees exactly with that of the Siliqua.

Among the Tellinæ, the T. fragilis is unknown to me. The T. tri-fasciata, cornubiensis, and donacina, are wanting, and the hinge of the Fabula is like the planata.

There is too great a fimilarity in the hinges of the species belonging to the genus Cardium, to make more than one figure necessary.

Excepting the two lateral teeth of the Mactra folida, no effential X 2 difference

difference is to be perceived between the hinge of that shell and of the M. lutraria. I have therefore omitted it, in order that the number of figures might not be unnecessarily increased.

Of the two species of *Donax*, described by British conchologists, the *Trunculus* only is in my possession; but it is more than probable, from the resemblance these two shells bear to each other, that their

hinges are not very diffimilar.

I have never feen the Venus deflorata, described and figured by Mr. Pennant in the British Zoology. The V. sinuosa and ovata are not among my shells; and the hinge of the V. rotundata is too like the decussata to need a description. The hinge of the V. borealis will be found, upon comparison, to resemble exactly that of the Mactraalba; therefore it would be placed with more propriety in that genus. The Donax Irus ought at the same time to be removed into the third division of the genus Venus, where the contour of the shell, as well as the formation of the hinge, will point out its propersituation.

In the genus Arca, it was thought unnecessary to give a figure of the lactea, after exhibiting the striking difference between the

hinge of the A. Nucleus and Glycymeris.

Of the genus Petten, Oftrea, Anomia, and Mytilus, it is sufficient to say, that a specimen has been given from each, as the species are, for the most part, destitute of teeth, and the shells merely united by cartilage.

MYA.

truncata.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. I. p. 3217. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 14. t. 41. f. 14. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 233 t. 16. f. 1. —Elem. Conch. t. 7. f. 16. List. Conch. t. 428. f. 269.—Hist. An. Ang. t. 5. f. 36. Gault. Test. t. 91. f. D. Chenn. Conch. 6. t. 1. f. 1, 2.

TAB. XIV. Fig. 1, 2.

A thick, broad, upright, striated tooth in the upper valve*; in the lower valve, a deep, spoon-shaped hollow, with a small tooth on one side, and a sharp ridge on the other, running from the beak towards the truncated end.

Lister, in his Historia Animalium A gliæ, has figured the lower valve of this shell; but has totally mistaken the form of the tooth.

Da Costa, in his British Conchology, and likewise in his Elements, has succeeded better, although his figures are by no means perfect.

arenaria.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3218. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 16. t. 42. f. 16. List. Conch. t. 418. f. 262.—
Bast. Opusc. subs. 2. p. 69. t. 7. f. 2, 3. Argenv. Zoom. t. 5. f. 10.

TAB. XIV. Fig. 3, 4:

Hinge with an upright, fanshaped tooth; on the side, a ridge deeply sulcated; lower valve like the preceding, except the side tooth, which is scarcely to be distinguished.

Mr. Pennant has given a very good figure of the tooth in the upper valve of this thell, and of the M. truncata.

^{*} For the fake of perspicuity, in the description of the Hinge, I have called that the upper valve which contains the great tooth.

margaritifera. Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3219. Penn. Br. Zool.

No. 18. t. 43. f. 18. Da Cost. Conch. t. 15. f. 15.

List. Conch. t. 149. f. 4.—An. Ang. App. 15. t. 1.

f. 1. Chemn. Conch. 6. t. 1. f. 5. Gault. Test. t. 102.

f. C. Klein. Ostr. t. 10. f. 47. Knorr. Vergn. 4. t. 25.

f. 2.

TAB. XIV. Fig. 5, 6.

The hinge of this shell is very thick and rugged; the tooth in the upper valve blunt, and uneven at the top. On one side there is a sulcus, which receives a small tooth from the opposite valve, at the same time that the large one sits into a corresponding depression.

This, with the following shell, completes Da Costa's genus of Mya. The two former he has placed among the Chamæ.

pictorum.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 3218. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 17. t. 43. f. 17. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 228. t. 15. f. 4. 4. — Elem. Conch. t. 7. f. 12. List. Conch. t. 147. f. 2, 3. — An. Ang. t. 2. f. 30. App. t. 1. f. 4. Chemn. Conch. 6. t. 1. f. 6. Argenv. Conch. t. 27. f. 10. — Zoom. t. 8. f. 11.

TAB. XIV. Fig. 7, 8:

In the hinge of the M. pietorum we meet with an arrangement of teeth different from any other of the British species of this genus.

Near the beak are fituated two upright teeth, one in each valve. The largest of the two is serrated.—

There are likewise three remote teeth, two in one shell,

shell, and one in the other. Both Da Costa and Pennant have figured the inside of this shell, without paying any attention to the teeth. Dr. Lister, however, thought their arrangement too curious to be passed unnoticed. He has therefore engraved them in his Hist. Conchysiorum, and also in his Hist. An. Ang.

SOLEN.

Siliqua.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3223. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 20. t. 45. f. 20. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 285. t. 17. f. 5.—Elem. Conch. t. 7. f. 8. List. Conch. t. 409. f. 255.—An. Ang. t. 5. f. 37. Chemn. Conch. 6. t. 4. f. 29. Gault. Test. t. 95. f. C. D. E. Knorr. Vergn. 6. t. 1. f. 1.

TAB. XIV. Fig. 9.

The hinge of the Solen Siliqua is furnished with three teeth; two thick ones in one valve receiving a lamina between them from the other. An edged production from the teeth is continued down about the third of an inch on each side of the hinge, where it terminates inwards in a rounded shape.

Da Costa, in his Br. Conch. has described the Solen Siliqua, but figured the S. incurvatus of Dr. Solander. Gmelin also has fallen into much the same error, by quoting Lister, Hist. Conch. 1. 413. A note of interrogation, indeed, is very properly added, but no reference is made to t. 409, f. 255, which is an exact copy of the true figure of the S. Siliqua, in the Hist. An. Ang.

The hinge in the two shells is the same.

Vagina.

Mr. WILLIAM WOOD'S Observations on the

160

Vagina. Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3223. List. Conch. t. 410. f. 256. Argenv. Conch. t. 24. f. K. L. M. Zoom. t. 6. f. G. H. Knorr. Vergn. 1. t. 28. f. 3.

TAB. XIV. Fig. 10.

Hinge with a fingle tooth in each valve. A continuation of the inner edge of the shell forms the upper tooth. The lower one is fixed upon a base, which is situated obliquely.

The furface of both is flat.

pellucidus.

Penn. Br. Zool. No. 23. t. 46. f. 23.

TAB. XIV. Fig. 11.

The hinge of this very delicate shell is furnished with five small, pointed teeth, three of which are situated in one valve, and two in the other. It must be remarked, that the central one of the three is bifurcated.

This shell has hitherto, I believe, escaped the notice of every author, except Pennant; who informs us that it inhabits the Red Wharf, Anglesea. We find it, though very rarely, in the muddy part of the Sandwich shore, towards the mouth of the haven. A few specimens have lately been dredged up at Folkstone.

TELLINA.

planata.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3232. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 29. t. 48. f. 29. List. Conch. 1. 405. f. 251. Gault. Test. 1.77. f. M.

TAB. XV. Fig. 1-4.

One valve of the T. planata contains three teeth; two near the beak, and one rather remote.

The other valve has only two, and the largest is divided longitudinally.

incarnata.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. I. p. 3234. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 32. t. 49. f. 32. 32. List. Conch. t. 405. f. 250. — An. Ang. t. 4. f. 25. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 211. t. 12. f. 4. 4. 4. Gault. Test. t. 88. f. M.

TAB. XV. Fig. 5-8.

This shell has two teeth close to the beak in each valve, one of which is sulcated. There are no remote teeth.

Mr. Pennant has called this shell carnaria. I believe his T. incarnata to be the T. radiata of Linnæus.

cornea.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3242. Pcnn. Br. Zool. No. 36. t. 49. f. 36. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 173. t. 13. sig. 2. 2. List. Conch. t. 159. f. 14.—An. Ang. t. 2. f. 31. App. 22. t. 1. f. 5. Gault. Test. t. 7. f. B. C. Chemn. Conch. 6. t. 13. f. 133. a. b.

TAB. XV. Fig. 9-12.

In the T. cornea we find four teeth in each valve. The two remotely fituated are of a confiderable fize; but those placed more immediately under the beak are so minute, that they are hardly to be distinguished without a magnifying glass, even in the large Thames specimens.

If the principal generic character of a shell rest upon the formation of the hinge, it will, perhaps, be difficult to find a proper place for the T. cornea. The central teeth do not perfectly agree with the character of a Tellina; and the remote teeth differ so evidently, that Da Costa has removed this shell into the next genus, where we find it under the name of Cardium Nux.

rivalis. Maton in the Linn. Trans. v. 3. p. 44. t. 13. f. 37, 38.

TAB. XV. Fig. 13-16.

The hinge of this shell is formed of four teeth in each valve, two at the beak and two remote. The two near the beak in one valve unite to form a small arch. One of the teeth in the other valve is double.

T. rivalis is ably described, and well figured, in the Transactions of this Society, where the difference between it and the T. cornea is sufficiently pointed out.

bimaculata. Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3240. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 213. Chemn. Conch. 6. t. 13. f. 127.

TAB. XV. Fig. 17, 18, 19.

The figure of this shell was sent to me, unaccompanied by a description. There appears to be a thick tooth in the centre of the hinge of one valve; and a cavity, probably for its reception, between two teeth, in the opposite.

fervensis.

fervensis.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3235. List. Concb. t. 394. f. 241.

TAB. XV. Fig. 20, 21.

This hinge, in one valve, has a fingle upright tooth, fituated by the fide of a flight depression, which is divided in the middle by a small ridge. The lower valve has likewise an erect tooth, which is notched.

The shape of this shell approaches so nearly to the *T. radiata*, that I imagine the hinge in both will be found the same. At present I have not an opportunity of comparing them.

CARDIUM.

aculeatum.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3247. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 37. t. 50. f. 37. Da Cost. Elem. of Conch. t. 1. f. 8. List. Conch. t. 321. f. 128. Gault. Test. t. 72. f. A. Chemn. Conch. 6. t. 15. f. 155—157.

TAB. XVI. Fig. 1,-2.

The character of the hinge in this shell is so strongly marked, and so exactly resembles the other species, that one figure will suffice for the whole genus.

No other description is necessary than what may be found in the explanation of the plates.

MACTRA.

lutraria.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3259. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 44. t. 52. f. 44. List. Conch. t. 415. f. 259.—An. Ang. t. 4. f. 19. Rumph. Mus. t. 45. f. M. Chemn. Conch. 6. t. 24. f. 240, 241.

Y 2

TAB. XVI. Fig. 3, 4.

The valves of this shell are firmly connected together, by a quantity of cartilage seated in two spoon-shaped cavities. On the side of one of the cavities, in the upper valve, there is a very strong tooth, the two plates of which form an obtuse angle, and the whole is received between two teeth in the opposite valve.

Da Costa, when he wrote his British Conchology, was not aware that this shell formed a distinct species from the following; he has therefore described and figured the M. bians a under the name of Chama magna, while his Synonyms direct the reader to the M. lutraria.

hians. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 231. t. 17. f. 4.

TAB. XVI. Fig. 5, 6.

The great cavity in the hinge of this species is larger, more spread, but not so regularly shaped as in the preceding. The great tooth in the upper valve locks, like that in the M. lutraria, between two teeth in the lower valve, of which the outer one, in the specimen before me, is grooved longitudinally, and, when the shell is closed, fits into a small cavity on the outside of the tooth in the upper valve. It should likewise be noted, that there is in both valves a deep, narrow sulcus, which runs from the beak of the shell across the base of the great cavity, and close on the inside of the teeth.

fultorum. Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3258. Penn. Br. Zool.

No. 30. t. 49. f. 30. Da.Cost. Br. Conch. p. 196. t. 12.

f. 3. 3. List. Conch. t. 251. f. 85. Gault. Test. t. 71.

f. C. Chemn. Conch. 6. t. 23. f. 224—227.

TAB. XVI. Fig. 7, 8.

There is an erect tooth in the upper valve of this shell, near the beak, somewhat similar in shape to the great one in the M. lutraria. This sits within a rectangular tooth in the lower valve, which likewise contains four remote teeth, like laminæ, receiving between them two from the upper valve.

I have referred for this shell to Mr. Pennant's Tellina radiata, as both his description and figure agree exactly with the Linnean M. sultorum. His shell of that name (No. 42. t. 52. f. 42.) is, perhaps, only a young one of the M. solida.

alba.

TAB. XVI. Fig. 9-12.

I believe we are indebted for the discovery of this shell to that accurate conchologist, William Boys, Esq. who found it on the Sandwich shore, where I have since met with it in abundance.

The hinge has the true fpoon shaped cavity peculiar to the genus Mactra, with a small tooth situated close to it in the upper valve, which has no remote teeth. The lower valve is provided with two.

DONAX.

trunculus.

Lynn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3263. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 45. t. 55. f. 45. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 207.

t. 14.

t. 14. fig. 3. List. Conch. t. 376. f. 217.—An. Ang. t. 5. f. 35. Borlase Cornw. p. 278. t. 28. f. 25. Chemn. Conch. 6. t. 26. f. 253, 254.

TAB. XVI. Fig. 13-16.

Hinge with a thick furrowed tooth in one valve, received between two others in the opposite. A fingle marginal tooth in each valve, at a little distance from the beak.

VENUS.

istandica.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3271. Penn. Br. Zool.
No. 47. t. 53. f. 47. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 183.
t. 14. f. 5. List. Conch. t. 272. f. 108.—An. Ang.
t. 4. f. 22. Gault. Test. t. 85. f. B. Chemn. Conch. 6.
t. 32. f. 341.

TAB. XVII. Fig. 1, 2.

There is a thick upright tooth under the beak in one valve of this shell, which locks between two others in the opposite valve.

For the other teeth with which this shell is provided, fee the Explanation of the Plates.

Chione.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3272. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 184. t. 14. f. 7. Gault. t. 86. f. A.

TAB. XVII. Fig. 3, 4.

A strong thick tooth is seated in each valve, directly under the cordiform depression of the shell; another close to the beak, and a third diverging from it, which last is thin, and in one valve grooved.

verrucosa.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3269. Penn. Br. Zool. n. 48. t. 54. f. 48. Da Cost. Conch. p. 185. t. 12. f. 1.1. List. Conch. t. 284. f. 122. Gault. Test. t. 75. f. H. Borlase Cornw. p. 278. t. 28. f. 32.

TAB. XVII. Fig. 5, 6.

This hinge is fet with two strong erect teeth, near the beak, in each valve, besides another which runs in the direction of the cartilage.

Gallina.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3270. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 191. t. 12. f. 2. 2. List. Conch. t. 282. f. 120. t. 295. f. 131. Knorr. vergn. 5. t. 14. f. 2—5. Chemn. Conch. 6. t. 30, f. 308, 310.

TAB. XVII. Fig. 7, 8.

One valve is furnished with three teeth, the middle one thick and triangular, broad at the base, and the upper angle seated directly under the beak of the shell. Of the two other teeth, one is plate-like, and runs in the direction of the cordiform depression, whilst the other, much thicker, passes from the beak towards the cartilage. The other valve differs in having a middle tooth less triangular, and situated obliquely. In the room of the plate-like tooth there is one much more substantial.

exoleta.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3284. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 49. t. 54. f. 49. A. t. 56. f. 49. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 187. t. 12. f. 5. 5. List. Conch. t. 292. f. 128. Gault. Test. t. 75. f. F. Chemn. Conch. t. 38. f. 402. 404.

TAB. XVII. Fig. 9, 10.

The Venus exoleta is provided with three large teeth in each valve, one of which is double. This shell has the rudiment of a small tooth seated at the base of one of the largest, on the side next the cordiform depression, and in the valve opposite to that which contains the lateral double tooth.

decussata.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3294. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 202. t. 14. f. 4. 4. List. Conch. t. 423. f. 271. Gault. Test. t. 85. f. L.

TAB. XVII. Fig. 11, 12.

This shell has two grooved teeth in one valve, besides a plain one. These teeth receive another between them, from the opposite valve, which is likewise grooved, and has for its companions a small plain tooth on one side, and the appearance of a tooth on the other. Young shells have three teeth in each valve. The intermediate one is constantly cless, the others plain; at least, in all the specimens which I have met with.

crassa.

Linn. Syft. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3288. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 28. t. 48. f. 28. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 194. t. 13. f. 4. right hand. List. Conch. t. 299. f. 136.

TAB. XVII. Fig. 15, 16.

Although we have high authority for placing this shell among the Veneres, yet we find it in the British Zoology ranked among the Tellinæ; and, indeed,

if we are to judge by comparison, it more properly belongs to that genus.

The hinge of the *V. crassa* is very plain and simple, confishing of a grooved central tooth and two others, which are remote. One valve, however, has hardly the appearance of remote teeth, though the central tooth in both is equally strong.

undata.

Penn. Br. Zool. No. 51. t. 55. f. 51.

TAB. XVII. Fig. 17, 18.

The hinge of the *V. undata* has a small central tooth situated under the beak of the shell, which sits into a triangular cavity in the opposite valve. A deep sulcus runs from the beak in the direction of the cartilage slope.

ARCA.

Glycymeris.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3313. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 58. t. 58. f. 58. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 168. t. 11. f. 2. 2. List. Conch. t. 278. f. 82. Chemn. Conch. 7. t. 57. f. 564.

TAB. XVIII. Fig. 1, 2.

"The hinge of the Arca Glycymeris is femicircular, and on each fide fet with a curved row of strong transverse teeth, generally from five to ten on each fide."

This is Da Costa's description, and is so far just; but he tells us that the centre of the hinge is quite Z fmooth,

VGL. VI.

finooth, and without teeth. This his figure contradicts, as well as two specimens in my cabinet, the teeth of which meet in the centre, though their size is very much reduced. The drawing which I have given is from one of them.

Nucleus.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3314. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 170. t. 15. f. 6. right hand. Gault. Test. t. 88. f. R. Chemn. Conch. 7. t. 58. f. 574. a. b.

TAB. XVIII. Fig. 3-6.

The beautiful arrangement of teeth in the hinge of this shell is not to be equalled by any other species on our shores. The regularity of their order and the elegance of their form make an accurate sigure particularly desirable. This I have attempted to give, to the best of my abilities, in a magnified representation.

The hinge is to be feen in all its beauty only in live shells.

Of the remaining genera little need be faid, as the hinges are, for the most part, without teeth. I have, therefore, only figured one species of each genus, which, I presume, will be thought sufficient.

PECTEN.

piëlus. Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3325. (ostrea opercularis.)

Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 144. t. 9. f. 1, 2. 4, 5.

TAB. XVIII. Fig. 7, 8.

"Hinge toothless, being only a trigonal cavity in the very centre of the commissure or summit of the shell, which runs in a straight horizontal line." Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 140.

OSTREA.

edulis.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3334. List. Conch. t. 194.

TAB. XVIII. Fig. 9, 10.

The shells of this genus are connected together by a strong central cartilage. There is a variety with a rugose appearance on each side of the hinge, which is very well represented in Dr. Lister's sigure.

ANOMIA.

Ephippium.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3240. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 70. t. 62. f. 70. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 165. t. 11. f. 3. List. Conch. t. 204. f. 38.

TAB. XVIII. Fig. 11, 12.

A fimple cartilaginous hinge with an oval cavity in the concave valve. Da Costa mentions a claw in the other valve, which is not in my specimen.

MYTILUS.

edulis.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3353. Penn. Br. Zool. No. 73. t. 63. f. 73. Da Cost. Br. Conch. p. 216. t. 15. f. 5. left hand.

Z 2

TAB. XVIII. Fig. 13, 14.

I believe it has not hitherto been publicly noticed, that the common muscle possesses teeth; such, however, is the case, and their situation, close to the beak of the shell, was first pointed out to me by Mr. Boys.

These teeth are by no means regular, either in their arrangement or shape; nor is every shell provided with them. The specimens in which I have found them are of a much larger size than the common, and generally make their appearance in the London markets in the depth of winter; but I am told they are not so much esteemed as the smaller ones.

The teeth are from three to feven in number, and, when examined collectively, refemble in figure and irregularity the knobs of a lobster's claw.

PINNA.

muricata.

Linn. Syst. Nat. ed. Gmel. 1. p. 3364.

The two valves of the *Pinna* are merely united by a thin membrane, which forms a hinge of the most fimple construction, without even the vestige of a tooth.

EXPLANATION OF THE PLATES.

TAB. XIV.

Fig. 1, 2. The hinge of the Mya truncata. (a) The great tooth.
(b) The

- (b) The corresponding depression. (c) A small tooth on one side of it.
- Fig. 3, 4. The hinge of the Mya arenaria. (a) The great tooth. (b) A ridge grooved longitudinally. (c) A finall curve in the margin. (d) The cavity for the reception of the tooth.
 - 5, 6. The hinge of the Mya margaritifera. (a) The rugged tooth.

 (b) Its cavity. (c) A sharp ridge on one side of it, which passes into the cavity (d).
 - 7. The hinge of the Mya piEterum. (a. a) The indented teeth. (b. b) The remote teeth.
 - 8. A fide view of one valve of the fame.
 - 9. The hinge of the Solen Siliqua.
 - 10. The hinge of the S. Vagina.
 - other teeth in the fame valve. (c) The two opposite teeth.

TAB. XV.

- 1, 2. The hinge of the Tellina planata.
- 3, 4. The fame magnified*. (a. a) The large tooth in each valve. (b.b) Two small teeth. (c) The lateral tooth.
- 5, 6. The hinge of the Tellina incarnata.
- 7, 8. The same magnified. (a.a) The two large teeth. (b.b) The two smaller ones. When the shell is placed horizon-tally these teeth appear very prominent, and the sulcus in the large tooth is very apparent.
- 9, 10. The hinge of the Tellina cornea.

^{*} The shells were, for the most part, magnified by Ellis's single Aquatic Microscope.

- Fig. 11, 12. The same magnified. (a.b) Two teeth which receive between them the triangular tooth (c). (d) A small tooth which passes on the outside of (a). (e. e. e. e)

 Lateral teeth.
 - 13, 14, The hinge of the Tellina rivalis.
 - 15, 16. The same magnified. (a.b) Two teeth which unite, and are continued to form an arch for the reception of the triangular tooth (c), on one side of which is a small tooth (d). (e. e. e. e) Lateral teeth.

17,18,19. Hinge of the Tellina bimaculata.

20, 21. Tellina fervensis. (a.b) Two erect teeth. In my specimen, one is plain, the other notched. (c) A small ridge dividing a depression by the side of (a).

TAB. XVI.

1, 2. Cardium aculeatum. (a. a. b. b) Four erect teeth which lock together when the shell is closed. (c) A remote tooth which fits between (d. e), while (f) receives its opposite, (g).

3, 4. Mastra lutraria. (a) The great tooth. (b) The fpoon-shaped cavity. (c) The place which receives the great tooth.

- 5, 6. Maetra bians. (a) The erect tooth. (b.b) The great cavity. (c. d) Two teeth which receive (a) between them. (e) A fmall cavity for the grooved tooth (d). (f.f) A narrow fulcus.
- 7, 8. Mactra stultorum. (a) The triangular tooth. (b) The rectangular one in the opposite valve. (c. c) The cavity for the cartilage. (d. d. d. d) Remote teeth.
- 9, 10. Mactra alba of the natural fize.
- 11, 12. The hinge of the fame magnified. (a. a) The spoon-shaped cavity. (b) A small tooth. (c.c) Remote teeth.

Fig. 13, 14. The hinge of the Donax Trunculus.

15, 16. The same magnified. (a) A thick sulcated tooth received, when the shell closes, between (b. b). (c. c) Marginal teeth.

TAB. XVII.

(b. b), while the rugged tooth (c) fits into a hollow within the small tooth (d). (e. e. e) Remote teeth.

3, 4. Venus Chione. Besides the two strong teeth in this shell,

(a) and (b), there is a third, (c), which passes into the
cavity (d). (e) A tooth grooved longitudinally.

5, 6. Venus verrucofa. (a. b) Two thick teeth receiving (c) between them. (d) A large tooth which passes on the infide of (e). (f) A thin tooth in the direction of the cartilage.

7, 8. Venus Gallina. (a.b) The two principal teeth. (c.d) Two finaller ones, of which (d) is plate-like. (e) A marginal

9, 10. Venus exoleta. (a) An erect tooth which locks between (b.b). (c) A thick channelled tooth received between (d.d).

11, 12. Venus decussata. (a) The two cleft teeth in one valve. (b) The same in the opposite. (c) The plain tooth.

13, 14. This shell is provided with a beautiful pectinated hinge, consisting of three teeth in each valve. These teeth are placed in the most regular order, and the middle one, I believe, is constantly grooved. Frequently there is a channel in one of the other teeth, and sometimes, though rarely, we meet with it in both.

When this species is found with only two teeth in one valve

valve and three in the other (as is fometimes the case), it must be considered as incomplete.

It was not till I began attentively to examine the hinges of British bivalves, that this shell appeared to me essentially different from the *V. decussata*. We find it, not uncommonly, on the Sandwich shore, from half an inch to one and a half or two inches in breadth, and sometimes marked on the outside (in a zigzag manner) with all the strength and elegance of a foreign specimen.

This species is broader, in proportion, than the V. decustata, and the strix are more delicate.

Fig. 15, 16. Venus crassa. (a) The two principal teeth. (b.b.b.b) Re-;

17, 18. The hinge of the Venus undata. (a) The central tooth.
(b) Its cavity.

TAB. XVIII.

- 1, 2. The hinge of the Arca Glycymeris, which in this particular specimen is furnished with an extraordinary number of teeth.
- 3, 4. Arca Nucleus of the natural fize.
- 5, 6. The hinge of the same magnified.
- 7, 8. The hinge of the Pecten pictus.
- 9, 10. The hinge of the Oftrea edulis.
- 11, 12. The hinge of the Anomia Ephippium.
- 13, 14. The hinge of the Mytilus edulis. (a. a) The fituation of the teeth.